# School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template 

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

| School Name | County-District-School <br> (CDS) Code | Schoolsite Council <br> (SSC) Approval Date |  | Local Board Approval <br> Date |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Woodland Senior High <br> School | 57727105738802 | $5 / 17 / 22$ |  |  |

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)
Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.
The School-Wide Plan meets the ESSA requirements through:
A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire schools that includes information on the academic achievement of students in relation to the challenging state academic standards, particularly the needs of those students who are failing, or are at risk of failing, to meet the challenging state academic standards.
The school-wide plan was developed to support the needs of the students in the school as identified through the comprehensive needs assessment. These include:
strategies that the school is implementing to address the school needs by providing opportunities for all students to meet the challenging state academic standards
the use of methods and instructional strategies that strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum
programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education, and strategies that address the needs of all students in the school, but particularly the needs of those students at risk of not meeting the challenging academic standards.

The school-wide plan addresses parent and family engagement by conducting outreach to all parents and family members, including:
a school and family engagement policy
a school and parent compact that addresses shared responsibility for high student academic achievement, and building capacity for involvement.

## Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

## Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Woodland High School's Site Council meets at least 5 times per year, and reviews the school's data, the progress made on goals within the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA), as well as participates in the needs assessment process, and develops and approves the annual School Plan.

Formal needs assessments were conducted with multiple stakeholder groups at Woodland High School including ELAC (English Learner Advisory Committee), School Site Council, staff, and and with the Student Action Committee. Each meeting included an in-depth review of the most recent California School Dashboard data for Woodland High School students' academic performance, attendance, reclassification rate, and suspension rate. Additionally, informal needs assessments occurred on a frequent basis through conversations with administration, parents, staff and students.

## STUDENT INPUT

Student input was gathered through a Student Advisory Committee created survey focused, of which 521 students responded. The 12 member Student Advisory Committee has a balanced representation of student groups. The SAC completed a needs assessment by reviewing survey, academic, and local data and identified "Instructional Strategies" as an area of concern. As a follow up, the SAC met again in April 2022, reviewed the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) and provided feedback on the strategies chosen for implementation. The student action committee agreed with the addition of three sections of Credit Recovery to the SPSA and reiterated the need for teachers to have access to high quality professional development to implement the positive instructional strategies identified in the student survey. These were incorporated into the SPSA.

Needs assessment meetings were also held with ELAC on March 28, 2022 and with School Site Council on March, 282022.

As a result of all Needs Assessment Activities, no major changes to the SPSA with the exception of three sections of Credit Recovery added under Goal 2.

ELAC reviewed and approved the SPSA on March, 28 2022, and provided additional feedback. School site council reviewed and approved the the plan on March 28, 2022.

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.
N/A

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
Enrollment By Student Group

## Student Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

| Grade |  | Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Students |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ |  |
| Grade 9 | 347 | 356 | 351 |  |
| Grade 10 | 311 | 332 | 351 |  |
| Grade 11 | 324 | 316 | 322 |  |
| Grade 12 | 343 | 306 | $\mathbf{2 8 5}$ |  |
| Total Enrollment | 1,325 | 1,310 | 1,309 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The percentage of students identifying as Latino/ Hispanic at $73.3 \%$ - up $1.7 \%$ percent from last year. Continues incremental trend of increase.
2. Enrollment over the last three years has been fairly consistent with a slight decrease in 2020-2021.
3. WHS continues to have a diverse population with no major fluctuations in any subgroup.

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Number of Students |  |  | Percent of Students |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 |
| English Learners | 145 | 123 | 121 | 10.9\% | 9.4\% | 9.2\% |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 582 | 600 | 628 | 43.9\% | 45.8\% | 48.0\% |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 17 | 16 | 29 | 13.4\% | 11.0\% | 23.6\% |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The percent of English Language Learners has steadily declined from approximately 11 percent down to about 9 percent over the last three years.
2. The number of Fluent English Proficient (FEP) students has increased approximately 4 percent from approximately 44 percent to 48 percent.
3. The number of Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) students has increased from 11 percent at it's lowest to approximately 24 percent at it's highest to approximately 13 percent over the last three years.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 11 | 316 | 301 | 288 | 304 | 287 | 188 | 304 | 285 | 182 | 96.2 | 95.3 | 65.3 |
| All Grades | 316 | 301 | 288 | 304 | 287 | 188 | 304 | 285 | 182 | 96.2 | 95.3 | 65.3 |

The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

## 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order $\mathrm{N}-30-20$ was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 11 | 2571. | 2589. | 2585. | 18.75 | 16.49 | 15.93 | 27.63 | 41.40 | 40.66 | 30.26 | 25.26 | 23.63 | 23.36 | 16.84 | 19.78 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 18.75 | 16.49 | 15.93 | 27.63 | 41.40 | 40.66 | 30.26 | 25.26 | 23.63 | 23.36 | 16.84 | 19.78 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order $\mathrm{N}-30-20$ was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Reading <br> Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 11 | 23.68 | 22.46 | 19.55 | 48.36 | 56.84 | 64.25 | 27.96 | 20.70 | 16.20 |
| All Grades | 23.68 | 22.46 | 19.55 | 48.36 | 56.84 | 64.25 | 27.96 | 20.70 | 16.20 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Writing <br> Producing clear and purposeful writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 11 | 25.33 | 26.67 | 25.84 | 45.07 | 56.14 | 51.12 | 29.61 | 17.19 | 23.03 |
| All Grades | 25.33 | 26.67 | 25.84 | 45.07 | 56.14 | 51.12 | 29.61 | 17.19 | 23.03 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Listening <br> Demonstrating effective communication skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 11 | 15.46 | 15.79 | 12.85 | 67.11 | 70.18 | 72.07 | 17.43 | 14.04 | 15.08 |
| All Grades | 15.46 | 15.79 | 12.85 | 67.11 | 70.18 | 72.07 | 17.43 | 14.04 | 15.08 |

## 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Research/Inquiry <br> Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 11 | 25.99 | 27.72 | 23.20 | 49.67 | 55.09 | 66.85 | 24.34 | 17.19 | 9.94 |
| All Grades | 25.99 | 27.72 | 23.20 | 49.67 | 55.09 | 66.85 | 24.34 | 17.19 | 9.94 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. In 2018-19 overall achievement was at it highest with $57.89 \%$ of students meeting or exceeding standards. 2020'21 overall achievement approaches high water mark with 56.6 percent of students meeting or exceeding standards.
2. Research/Inquiry is students' highest domain with 90.5 percent of students above, at, or near standard.
3. Writing is students' lowest domain with 76.96 percent of students above, at, or near standard.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 11 | 316 | 301 | 288 | 303 | 287 | 169 | 302 | 287 | 165 | 95.9 | 95.3 | 58.7 |
| All Grades | 316 | 301 | 288 | 303 | 287 | 169 | 302 | 287 | 165 | 95.9 | 95.3 | 58.7 |

* The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 11 | 2522. | 2523. | 2556. | 4.97 | 4.88 | 4.85 | 13.25 | 10.10 | 20.61 | 22.52 | 26.13 | 27.88 | 59.27 | 58.89 | 46.67 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 4.97 | 4.88 | 4.85 | 13.25 | 10.10 | 20.61 | 22.52 | 26.13 | 27.88 | 59.27 | 58.89 | 46.67 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Concepts \& Procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\%$ Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ |
| Grade 11 | 9.60 | 7.34 | 6.67 | 22.52 | 22.03 | 48.48 | 67.88 | 70.63 | 44.85 |
| All Grades | 9.60 | 7.34 | 6.67 | 22.52 | 22.03 | 48.48 | 67.88 | 70.63 | 44.85 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grade Level |  | \% Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ |
| Grade 11 | 7.95 | 8.04 | 10.30 | 41.39 | 45.45 | 71.52 | 50.66 | 46.50 | 18.18 |
| All Grades | 7.95 | 8.04 | 10.30 | 41.39 | 45.45 | 71.52 | 50.66 | 46.50 | 18.18 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order $\mathrm{N}-30-20$ was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 11 | 7.62 | 5.59 | 7.27 | 50.00 | 55.94 | 69.09 | 42.38 | 38.46 | 23.64 |
| All Grades | 7.62 | 5.59 | 7.27 | 50.00 | 55.94 | 69.09 | 42.38 | 38.46 | 23.64 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order $\mathrm{N}-30-20$ was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. 25.46 percent of students are above or meeting standard.
2. 2020-2021 marks the first increase in students above or meeting standard in three-years with a 10.48 percent increase.
3. "Problem Solving and Modeling/Data analysis" is students' strongest domain with 81.82 percent of meeting or exceeding standard. "Communicating Reasoning" is students' weakest domain with 76.36 percent of meeting or exceeding standard.

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Overall |  |  | Oral Language |  |  | Written Language |  |  | Number of Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| 9 | 1496.3 | 1488.7 | 1512.4 | 1505.4 | 1492.8 | 1510.4 | 1486.7 | 1484.3 | 1513.8 | 32 | 43 | 37 |
| 10 | 1490.0 | 1482.1 | 1515.7 | 1480.1 | 1466.3 | 1503.1 | 1499.4 | 1497.4 | 1527.8 | 32 | 26 | 20 |
| 11 | 1524.1 | 1490.3 | 1536.5 | 1515.2 | 1473.7 | 1524.6 | 1532.5 | 1506.5 | 1547.8 | 31 | 27 | 28 |
| 12 | * | 1498.6 | 1513.1 | * | 1483.5 | 1488.9 | * | 1513.2 | 1536.9 | * | 27 | 15 |
| All Grades |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 105 | 123 | 100 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order $\mathrm{N}-30-20$ was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Overall Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| 9 | * | 0.00 | 2.70 | * | 25.58 | 24.32 | * | 46.51 | 37.84 | * | 27.91 | 35.14 | 32 | 43 | 37 |
| 10 |  | 11.54 | 10.53 | * | 23.08 | 26.32 | * | 15.38 | 31.58 | 37.50 | 50.00 | 31.58 | 32 | 26 | 19 |
| 11 | * | 3.70 | 11.11 | 38.71 | 18.52 | 22.22 | * | 40.74 | 55.56 | * | 37.04 | 11.11 | 31 | 27 | 27 |
| 12 |  | 3.70 | 7.14 | * | 29.63 | 14.29 | * | 33.33 | 50.00 | * | 33.33 | 28.57 | * | 27 | 14 |
| All Grades | 17.14 | 4.07 | 7.22 | 29.52 | 24.39 | 22.68 | 25.71 | 35.77 | 43.30 | 27.62 | 35.77 | 26.80 | 105 | 123 | 97 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order $\mathrm{N}-30-20$ was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Oral Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| 9 | 37.50 | 11.63 | 5.41 | * | 46.51 | 51.35 | * | 25.58 | 24.32 | * | 16.28 | 18.92 | 32 | 43 | 37 |
| 10 | 37.50 | 26.92 | 15.79 | * | 15.38 | 31.58 | * | 15.38 | 26.32 | 34.38 | 42.31 | 26.32 | 32 | 26 | 19 |
| 11 | 35.48 | 3.70 | 11.11 | 41.94 | 29.63 | 55.56 | * | 33.33 | 22.22 | * | 33.33 | 11.11 | 31 | 27 | 27 |
| 12 |  | 14.81 | 7.14 | * | 37.04 | 28.57 | * | 25.93 | 28.57 | * | 22.22 | 35.71 | * | 27 | 14 |
| All Grades | 34.29 | 13.82 | 9.28 | 29.52 | 34.15 | 45.36 | 14.29 | 25.20 | 24.74 | 21.90 | 26.83 | 20.62 | 105 | 123 | 97 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| 9 | 34.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | * | 67.44 | 77.78 | 37.50 | 32.56 | 22.22 | 32 | 43 | 36 |
| 10 | * | 3.85 | 5.26 | 37.50 | 50.00 | 47.37 | 34.38 | 46.15 | 47.37 | 32 | 26 | 19 |
| 11 | * | 0.00 | 0.00 | 54.84 | 37.04 | 74.07 | * | 62.96 | 25.93 | 31 | 27 | 27 |
| 12 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | * | 51.85 | 57.14 | * | 48.15 | 42.86 | * | 27 | 14 |
| All Grades | 24.76 | 0.81 | 1.04 | 40.00 | 53.66 | 67.71 | 35.24 | 45.53 | 31.25 | 105 | 123 | 96 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| 9 | 56.25 | 65.12 | 43.24 | * | 18.60 | 40.54 | * | 16.28 | 16.22 | 32 | 43 | 37 |
| 10 | 46.88 | 42.31 | 63.16 | * | 23.08 | 10.53 | 37.50 | 34.62 | 26.32 | 32 | 26 | 19 |
| 11 | 58.06 | 51.85 | 55.56 | * | 18.52 | 29.63 | * | 29.63 | 14.81 | 31 | 27 | 27 |
| 12 | * | 48.15 | 35.71 | * | 29.63 | 28.57 | * | 22.22 | 35.71 | * | 27 | 14 |
| All Grades | 53.33 | 53.66 | 49.48 | 24.76 | 21.95 | 29.90 | 21.90 | 24.39 | 20.62 | 105 | 123 | 97 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| 9 | * | 0.00 | 13.51 | * | 27.91 | 29.73 | 78.13 | 72.09 | 56.76 | 32 | 43 | 37 |
| 10 | * | 3.85 | 10.53 | * | 38.46 | 42.11 | 62.50 | 57.69 | 47.37 | 32 | 26 | 19 |
| 11 |  | 0.00 | 3.70 | 38.71 | 33.33 | 44.44 | 61.29 | 66.67 | 51.85 | 31 | 27 | 27 |
| 12 |  | 3.70 | 7.14 | * | 51.85 | 28.57 | * | 44.44 | 64.29 | * | 27 | 14 |
| All Grades | * | 1.63 | 9.28 | 26.67 | 36.59 | 36.08 | 69.52 | 61.79 | 54.64 | 105 | 123 | 97 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Writing Domain <br> Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| 9 | * | 0.00 | 2.86 | 46.88 | 67.44 | 60.00 | 34.38 | 32.56 | 37.14 | 32 | 43 | 35 |
| 10 | * | 11.54 | 0.00 | 59.38 | 53.85 | 63.16 | * | 34.62 | 36.84 | 32 | 26 | 19 |
| 11 | * | 7.41 | 3.70 | 67.74 | 51.85 | 81.48 | * | 40.74 | 14.81 | 31 | 27 | 27 |
| 12 | * | 3.70 | 7.14 | * | 70.37 | 64.29 | * | 25.93 | 28.57 | * | 27 | 14 |
| All Grades | 16.19 | 4.88 | 3.16 | 60.00 | 61.79 | 67.37 | 23.81 | 33.33 | 29.47 | 105 | 123 | 95 |

## 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order $\mathrm{N}-30-20$ was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall performance on the ELPAC dipped approximately eight percent with the 9 th and 10 th graders and $34 \%$ in the 11th grade over the last two years. Inconsistent staffing and lack of highly trained instructors are major contributing factors. Focused hiring efforts and reassigning of sections are in progress.
2. Students classified as 4 s and 3 s decreased and increased in 2 s and 1 s in overall language. Roughly $35 \%$ of students are a level 1, 35\% level 2, 24\% level 3 and $4 \%$ level 4.
3. Area of greatest need is in reading with the lowest percentage of students scoring "Well Developed" ( 1.62 percent) and the highest percentage of students scoring "Beginning" ( 61.79 percent).

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2020-21 Student Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| 1309 | 57.8 | 9.2 | 0.9 |
| This is the total number of students enrolled. | This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma. | This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses. | This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court. |


| 2019-20 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners | 121 | 9.2 |
| Foster Youth | 12 | 0.9 |
| Homeless | 5 | 0.4 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 756 | 57.8 |
| Students with Disabilities | 167 | 12.8 |


| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| African American | 10 | 0.8 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 7 | 0.5 |
| Asian | 34 | 2.6 |
| Filipino | 3 | 0.2 |
| Hispanic | 959 | 73.3 |
| Two or More Races | 30 | 2.3 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 9 | 0.7 |
| White | 249 | 19.0 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. In 2019-2020 the percentage of English Learners stayed at 9.2\%.
2. In 2019-2020 there were roughly $57.8 \%$ of students identified as socioeconomically disadvantaged- rate has maintained
3. Hispanic students remain the largest total percentage of WHS's population at $73.3 \% \%$.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Overall Performance

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

| Academic Performance | Academic Engagement | Conditions \& Climate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |
| Mreen |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  |
| Orange |  |  |
| College/Career |  |  |
| Green |  |  |
| Green |  |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. ELA Performance, the Graduation Rate, Suspension Rate, and College/Career indicators are all strong. ELA moved from Yellow to Green this school year. Strong student centerd vision and consistent administrative leadership over last two years have contributed in upward movement.
2. Mathematics proficiency rates remain the greatest area of need site wide.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Language Arts

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest
Performance




Yellow


Green


Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group



| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 81.9 points below standard |
| Increased |
| Significantly |
| ++44.4 points |
| 40 |



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122.1 points below standard | 23.1 points below standard | 16.3 points above standard |
| Maintained -1.9 points | Increased Significantly | Declined -3.6 points |
| 23 | $\begin{gathered} ++58.6 \text { points } \\ 17 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 142 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall performance in ELA is strong with five groups increasing (All, EL, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities, and RFEPs)
2. Current English Learners maintained performance while only one group, EO students, declined.
3. While overall performance increased, six groups are points below the standard ranging from .5 points below standard (Hispanic students) to 81.9 points below standard (Students with Disabilities). Additional supports and restructuring of RSP in progress to address Sped. needs.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> Mathematics

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest
Performance




Yellow


Green


Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 182.1 points below standard |
| Increased |
| Significantly |
| ++34 points |
| 38 |



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners <br> 203.4 points below standard <br> Increased ++6.2 points <br> 22120.2 points below standard <br> Increased <br> Significantly <br> ++68.5 points <br> 17 | 90.1 points below standard <br> Declined -4.5 points <br> 141 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall performance in six groups increased (ELs, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities, White students, Current ELs, and RFEPs)
2. All students maintained performance along with Hispanic subgroup while EOs declined.
3. All groups are blow standard ranging from 67.8 points (White students) to 182.1 points below standard (Students with Disabilities). Same comment as previous data set for Sped student needs.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Learner Progress

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

| English Learner Progress |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 33.6 making progress towards English |
| language proficiency |
| Number of EL Students: 110 |
| Performance Level: VeryLow |

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

| Decreased <br> One ELPI Level |
| :---: |
| 23.6 |

Maintained ELPI Level 1, $2 \mathrm{~L}, \mathbf{2 H}, 3 \mathrm{~L}$, or 3 H 42.7

| Maintained <br> ELPI Level 4 |
| :---: |
| 1.8 |


| Progressed At Least <br> One ELPI Level |
| :---: |
| 31.8 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. A little over one-third of students are making progress toward English language proficiency. Same comment as previous data set on ELPAC scores.
2. Almost half of students ( 44 percent) are maintaining but not progressing while about a quarter are decreasing performance ( 24 percent) and a little under a third are progressing ( 31 percent)

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> College/Career Measures Only Report

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

|  | Number and Percentage of Students in the Combined Graduation Rate and/or <br> Dashboard Alternative School Status (DASS) <br> Graduation Rate by Student <br> Group |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Cohort <br> Totals | Cohort <br> Percent |
| All Students | 279 | 100 |
| African American | 2 | 0.7 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 1 | 0.4 |
| Asian | 4 | 1.4 |
| Filipino | 1 | 0.4 |
| Hispanic | 204 | 73.1 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 2 | 0.7 |
| White | 55 | 19.7 |
| Two or More Races | 9 | 3.2 |
| English Learners | 29 | 10.4 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 218 | 78.1 |
| Students with Disabilities | 33 | 11.8 |
| Foster Youth | 4 | 1.4 |
| Homeless | 5 | 1.8 |


| Advanced Placement Exams - Number and Percentage of Four-Year Graduation Rate Cohort Students |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Cohort <br> Totals | Cohort <br> Percent |
| All Students | 22 | 7.9 |
| African American |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |
| Asian |  | 8.9 |
| Filipino | 18 |  |
| Hispanic |  |  |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 2 | 0 |
| White |  | 6.6 |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0 |
| English Learners | 14 | 0 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 0 |  |
| Students with Disabilities |  |  |

## Foster Youth

## Homeless

* This table shows students in the four-year graduation rate cohort by student group who scored 3 or higher on at least two Advanced Placement exams.

| International Baccalaureate Exams - Number and Percentage of Four-Year Graduation Rate Cohort |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Cohort <br> Totals | Cohort <br> Percent |
| All Students | 0 | 0 |
| African American |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |
| Asian | 0 | 0 |
| Filipino |  | 0 |
| Hispanic | 0 | 0 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander |  | 0 |
| White | 0 | 0 |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0 |
| English Learners | 0 | 0 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities |  |  |

Foster Youth

## Homeless

* This table shows students in the four-year graduation rate cohort by student group who scored 4 or higher on at least two International Baccalaureate Exams.

| Completed at Least One Career Technical Education (CTE) Pathway - Number and Percentage of All Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

## Foster Youth

## Homeless

* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed at least one CTE Pathway with a grade of C- or better (or Pass) in the capstone course.

| Completed a-g Requirements - Number and Percentage of All Students |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Cohort <br> Totals | Cohort <br> Percent |
| All Students | 113 | 40.5 |
| African American |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |
| Asian | 79 | 38.7 |
| Filipino | 25 |  |
| Hispanic |  | 45.5 |
| Native Hawaian or Pacific Islander | 0 |  |
| White | 74 | 0 |
| Two or More Races | 3 | 33.9 |
| English Learners |  |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 9.1 |  |
| Students with Disabilities |  |  |

## Foster Youth

## Homeless

* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who met the University of California (UC) or California State University (CSU) a-g criteria with a grade of C or better (or Pass).

| Completed a-g Requirements AND at Least One CTE Pathway - Number and Percentage of All Students |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Cohort <br> Totals | Cohort <br> Percent |
| All Students | 17 | 6.1 |
| African American |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |
| Asian | 12 | 5.9 |
| Filipino |  |  |
| Hispanic | 4 | 7.3 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander |  | 0 |
| White | 0 | 4.1 |
| Two or More Races | 9 | 3 |
| English Learners | 1 |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities |  |  |

## Foster Youth

## Homeless

* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who met the UC or CSU a-g criteria with a grade of C or better (or Pass) AND completed at least one CTE Pathway with a grade of C- or better (or Pass) in the capstone course.


## Completed College Credit Courses - Number and Percentage of All Student

 Students Completing One Semester, Two Quarters, or Two Trimesters of College Credit Courses| Student Group | Number of Students | Percent of Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 46 | 16.5 |
| African American |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |
| Asian |  |  |
| Filipino |  |  |
| Hispanic | 35 | 17.2 |


| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| White | 6 | 10.9 |
| Two or More Races | 1 | 3.4 |
| English Learners | 37 | 17 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 3 | 9.1 |
| Students with Disabilities |  |  |

## Foster Youth

## Homeless

* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed Academic or CTE subject college credit courses with a grade of C- or better (or Pass).

| Completed College Credit Courses - Number and Percentage of All Student Students Completing Two Semesters, Three Quarters, or Three Trimesters of College Credit Courses |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Number of Students | Percent of Students |
| All Students | 23 | 8.2 |
| African American |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |
| Asian |  |  |
| Filipino |  |  |
| Hispanic | 16 | 7.8 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander |  |  |
| White | 4 | 7.3 |
| Two or More Races |  |  |
| English Learners | 0 | 0 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 19 | 8.7 |
| Students with Disabilities | 1 | 3 |

## Foster Youth

## Homeless

* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed Academic or CTE subject college credit courses with a grade of C- or better (or Pass).

| Earned the State Seal of Biliteracy - Number and Percentage of All Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Cohort Totals | Cohort Percent |
| All Students | 67 | 24 |
| African American |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |
| Asian |  |  |
| Filipino |  |  |
| Hispanic | 65 | 31.9 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander |  |  |
| White | 1 | 1.8 |
| Two or More Races |  |  |
| English Learners | 0 | 0 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 58 | 26.6 |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 |
| Foster Youth |  |  |
| Homeless |  |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Graduation rate remains at 100 percent in $2020-21$ with parity in ethnic subgroups.
2. A-G completion is below 50 percent at 40.1 percent in 2020-2021.
3. A-G completion rate is lower in Hispanic students with 38.7 percent than White students at 44.5 percent even though Hispanic students comprise over approximately 70 percent of the student body and White students comprise approximately 20 percent.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement

Chronic Absenteeism
Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performance | Red | Orange $\quad$ Gellow $\quad$| Highest |
| :--- |
| Performance |

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report
Red Orange Yellow $\quad$ Green $\quad$ Blue

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities |

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. n/a
2. $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$
3. $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate Additional Report

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

| 2021 Graduation Rate by Student Group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Number of Students in the Graduation Rate | Number of Graduates | Number of Fifth Year Graduates | Graduation Rate |
| All Students | 279 | 268 | 2 | 96.1 |
| English Learners | 29 | 23 | 1 | 79.3 |
| Foster Youth | 4 |  | 0 |  |
| Homeless | 5 |  | 1 |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 218 | 207 | 2 | 95 |
| Students with Disabilities | 33 | 26 | 1 | 78.8 |
| African American | 2 |  | 0 |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Asian | 4 |  | 0 |  |
| Filipino | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Hispanic | 204 | 195 | 2 | 95.6 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 2 |  | 0 |  |
| White | 55 | 53 | 0 | 96.4 |
| Two or More Races | 9 |  | 0 |  |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. 2021 graduation rates remain high in all subgroups.
2. Lowest graduation rate in significant subgroups of English Learners ( 79.3 percent) and Students with Disabilities (78.8 percent) in 2021.
3. SED student graduation rate increased from 94.2 percent to 95 percent in 2021.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Conditions \& Climate <br> Suspension Rate

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest
Performance

Yellow

Green

Blue
Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| Yellow |
| 7.9 |
| Declined -1.4 |
| 202 |



| Hispanic |
| :---: |
| Green |
| 5.4 |

Declined -1.3
991


| White |
| :---: |
| Orange |
| 6.3 |
| Increased +0.5 |
| 284 |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

| 2017 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 6.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Suspension rate decreased for eight groups (All, EL, Foster Youth, SED, Students with Disabilities, African American, Asian, and Hispanic students). Consistent admin. leadership, Restorative Practices trainings, and RTI efforts have contributed to this decline over the last three years.
2. Two groups increased (Students who identify as two or more races and white students).
3. Foster Youth and African American students have the highest suspension percentage (16.7 and 17.4 percent respectively).

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Each student will meet the skills and competencies of the graduate profile in order to be college and career ready through a rigorous, intellectually rich, and culturally relevant environment.

## Goal 1

Each student will meet the skills and competencies of the graduate profile in order to be college and career ready through a rigorous, intellectually rich, and culturally relevant environment.

## Identified Need

A little above half of WHS students are considered "College and Career" ready and among those only 51.4 percent (a little over half) complete A-G courses. While WHS has a strong number of CTE pathways, a very small percentage are completers. Compared to the large number of Spanish speaking students WHS has a low amount of Seal of Biliteracy recipients (mainly due to SBAC/CAASP performance).

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of students receiving college credit through dual enrollment, concurrent enrollment and articulated classes (high school only) | 2020-'21 46 students completed at least one college course. 23 students completed at least two college courses. <br> 2021-'22 Concurrent enrollment in Fall $=35$ students | Continue concurrent enrollment numbers and complete dual enrollment coordination efforts. |
| Percentage of students completing UC/CSU a-g course requirements (high school only). | 2018-'19 38.13\% of students completed UC/CSU a-g course requirements/ <br> 2019-'20 51.4\% of the students completed a-g course requirements. <br> 2020-'21-40.5\% of students completed UC/CSU A-G course requirements. | Increase UC/CSU a-g course completion to $55 \%$. |
| Number and Percent of students that complete a CTE (Career Technical Education) pathway (high school only). | WHS had 865 enrolled ( $65 \%$ of student population- 1325 total) in a CTE (Career Technical Education) class in 19-20, with 38 completer students (3\% of student population) | Maintain current CTE (Career Technical Education) enrollment of over 800 students. Increase completer students to 80 students. |


|  | WHS had 1,064 students enrolled ( $81 \%$ of student population-1310 total) in a CTE class in 2020-'21 = 68 completer students (24.4\%). <br> WHS has 796 students enrolled (63\% of student population - 1254 total) in a CTE class in 2021-'22 $=220$ enrolled in completer courses (17\% of student population). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of students who participate in VAPA (Visual and Performing Arts). | 2019-'20-650 students who participated in one or more VAPA (Visual and Performing Arts) courses with 26.0\% Male and 26.84\% Female participation. Two student groups without parity: Hispanic/Latino 72.18\% of population with $37.28 \%$ participation, and Pacific Islander . $57 \%$ of population with .32\% participation. <br> 2020-'21-673 students participating in one or more VAPA courses with 23.7 Male and $26.5 \%$ Female. Four students groups without parity: Hispanic/Latino 75.02\% of population with 14.9\% participation, Asian (NonHispanic) 2.78\% of population with $1.76 \%$ participation, Pacific Islander .68\% of population with . $4 \%$ participation, Black .84\% of population with $.56 \%$ participation. <br> 2021-'22-565 students participated in one or more VAPA (Visual and Performing Arts) courses with 25\% male and $29 \%$ female participation. | Maintain high current levels of VAPA participation of over 600 students including balance in gender participation- but increase participation to completely close parity gaps in all student groups. |


| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Number of State Seals of <br> Biliteracy awarded to students <br> (high school only). | 2020-'21- 67 students were <br> eligible for the seal of biliteracy. | Increase Seal of Biliteracy to <br> 120 eligible students. |  |
|  | 2021-'22 -109 students were <br> eligible for the seal of biliteracy |  |  |
| Number of Pathway awards for <br> Bilteracy (Dual Immersion <br> schools only). | N/A | N/A |  |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All students

Strategy/Activity
Strategy: Provide programs and supports to increase achievement, increase College/Career "prepared" status, and advance A-G eligibility on the CA dashboard, and participation of subgroups.

Activities:

- AVID (Advancement Via Individual Determination) program supports: College Field trips for AVID students, subs to cover classrooms during field trips/PD, provide a coordinator stipend, professional development for AVID elective teachers, hiring of AVID tutors to support student development, celebration expenses for AVID senior night.
- VAPA Supports: Increased parent presentations/informational nights to recruit subgroup participation, presentations in ELD classes, field trips to support opportunities for subgroup participation and enrichment.
- CTE supplemental materials: Supplemental instruction materials for CTE courses.
- AP (Advanced Placement) subsidies: Support increased student participation in taking AP exams through scholarships.
- Puente program supports: College Field Trips for Puente students, subs to cover staff classrooms during field trip supervision, supplemental instructional materials, celebration expenses for Puente senior night.
- Math Department Supports: After school intervention, collaboration time for departments to review data and set goals, collaboration time for planning co-teaching with special education teachers.


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Supplemental/Concentration

Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
AVID Support was executed to plan. AVID tutors were hired to provide direct support to students. VAPA, CTE, Puente all accessed funding to purchase the planned for supplies and supports. AP Subsidies used in full to support socio-economically disadvantaged students.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
Funds allocated for field trips (including classroom subs) were utilized (AVID, VAPA, and Puente all attended field trips). Math department supports were not fully used as math students utilized other supports outline in other goals in higher number. Math department collaboration around data and planning with SPED did not occur.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
To achieve an increase in A-G completion, Increase student participation in capstone courses to increase CTE Completer status, increase subgroup participation in VAPA, and increased Seal of Biliteracy achievement. We will reimplement the original plan and redouble our efforts with Math Department support.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Each student's individual social-emotional and academic needs will be met through quality first instruction, enrichment, and intervention, in a safe and supportive environment.

## Goal 2

Each student's individual social-emotional and academic needs will be met through quality first instruction, enrichment, and intervention, in a safe and supportive environment.

## Identified Need

Students are below the State Average in ELA and Math proficiency. Need for increased stakeholder input on important decisions. Chronic absenteeism approaching 20 percent. Approximately two thirds of students surveyed feel safe or connected to the school.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Performance level on ELA and <br> Math Academic Indicator. |
| Performance level on English <br> Learner Progress Indicator |

Percentage of students in both the Meets and Exceeds
Standards level on SBAC
(Smarter Balanced
Assessment Consortium) in English Language Arts (ELA).

Percentage of students in both the Meets and Exceeds Standards level on SBAC (Smarter Balanced
Assessment Consortium) in Math.

Baseline/Actual Outcome
2018-19 WHS is Green on the dashboard for ELA (English Language Arts) and Orange for math. (No 2019-'20 Data-2020-'21 No data on CA Dashboard to indicate "color" progress.
2019-'20-33.6\% making progress towards English Language Proficiency. No 2020-21' date as of March 2021.
$57 \%$ of students met or exceeded the English Language Arts standards on the SBAC (Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium) DATA QUEST 45.2\% of students met or exceeded the English Language Arts standards on the 2020-'21 SBAC. AERIES ANALYTICS
14.98\% of students met or exceeded the Mathematics standards on the SBAC in2019-'20 . 22.7\% of students met or exceeded the Mathematics standards on the

## Expected Outcome

(Continued from last year) Move to Blue for ELA and yellow for math
(Continued from last year) Increase performance level on English Learner Progress Indicator by one level to 35\%
(Continued from last year) Percentage of students meeting or exceeding English Language Arts Proficiency will increase to 60\%.

Percentage of students meeting or exceeding Math Proficiency will increase to 25\%.

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SBAC in 2020-'21. AERIES ANALYTICS |  |
| Number of students who are chronically absent | N/A As of March 2022, 16.5\% of students are chronically absent. AERIES ANALYTICS | Decrease students who are chronically absent to 15\%. |
| Student sense of safety and school connectedness | CHKS (California Healthy Kids Survey) 2019-2020 48\% of 9th graders and $43 \%$ of 11th graders feel connected to the school./2020-'21 CHKS Survey $62 \%$ of 9 th graders and $58 \%$ of 11th graders feel connected to the school. <br> $44 \%$ of 9 th graders and $35 \%$ of 11th graders feel that the school is safe/2020-'21 71\% of 9th graders and $61 \%$ of 11th graders feel that the school is safe or very safe. | Increase students' feelings of connection to school to $65 \%$ in 9 th grade and $60 \%$ in 11 th grade. <br> Increase students' feelings of safety to $75 \%$ in 9th grade and $65 \mathrm{~A} \%$ in 11 th grade. |
| Suspension rate | 0\% due to distance learning | Continue to have a low percentage of students spended, the percentage of students suspended tless than 4\%. |
| Parent/family satisfaction on Healthy Kids Survey, on key indicators | CHKS (California Healthy Kids Survey) 2019-2020.: <br> $83 \%$ of parents agree that WHS allows input and welcomes parent contributions. <br> 67\% of parents agree that WHS encourages them to be an active partner with the school to educate their child. <br> $50 \%$ of parents agree that WHS actively seeks the input of parents before making important decisions. <br> CHKS 2020-2021: <br> - -\% of parents agree that WHS allows input | Maintain current levels of satisfaction on input. <br> Increase "active partnership" item to $70 \%$. <br> Increase "input on important decision" item to 60\%. <br> Continue to encourage participation on the survey. |

and welcomes parent contributions.

- -\% of parents agree that WHS encourages them to be an active partner with the school to educate their child.
- -\% of parents agree that WHS actively seeks the input of parents before making important decisions.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All students
Strategy/Activity
Strategy: Provide opportunities to enhance teacher content knowledge, student enrichment beyond the classroom, and create an environment conducive to growth and learning.

Activities:

- Increase Credit Recovery opportunities in the Master Schedule.
- Provide both on site and and conference Professional Development surrounding instructional strategies, use of technology to enhance instruction, and collaboration time to put Universal Design for Learning into practice.
- Includes support for subs, travel costs, conference registration, and release time
- Provide Learning Center support
- Includes funding VSAs to hire tutors to provide Academic Intervention and Support
- Provide Department Supplies including but not limited to consumables, furniture, and classroom materials.
- Fund Saturday School program
- Extra Duty hours to staff program to provide intervention, time to make up work, and differentiation
- Includes extra funds to support additional mid-week opportunities at key times of the school year


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
94,000
90,000

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Learning Center support, Department Supplies, and Saturday School support all executed to plan. Limited PD (Professional Development)

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
Most PD accessed via virtual conferences but in person opportunities accessed as COVID restrictions began to lift in March 2022. Funding from field trips in Goal 1 diverted to supplies to meet increased needs. Strong increase in frequency and teacher participation in Saturday School Intervention and an expansion to "Wednesday Workshops" especially towards the end of grading periods.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
We will be recommitting to PD that focuses on instructional strategies including on use of interactive technology, increasing rigor and relevance of curriculum, increasing interactive lessons, amongst others. Additionally we will increase the number of credit recovery sections to allow students to make up courses.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Accelerate the academic achievement and English proficiency of each English Learner (EL) through an assets oriented approach, and standards based instruction.

## Goal 3

Accelerate the academic achievement and English proficiency of each English Learner through an assets oriented approach, and standards based instruction.

## Identified Need

Goals were not met and progress was not made in English Learner Progress. Decreasing Long Term English Learners (LTEL's) needs attention. Movement on rating on EL Roadmap Principal needs to be made.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increase the reclassification rate for English Learners. | 2019-'20 11\% of WHS students are RFEP (Reclassified fully English Proficient) <br> In 2020-'21 14.6 \% of WHS students are RFEP | Continue to increase reclassification rate to $25 \%$. |
| Show growth on the English Learner Progress Indicator. | 2019-'20 33.6\% making progress towards English language proficiency = "Very Low" category | Increase reclassification rate by $5 \%$ to $38 \%$ within the "Low" category. |
| Decrease the number of Long Term English Learners (LTEL) (middle and high school only). | In 2020-'21 76\% of our ELs are LTELs | Decrease LTEL percentage to less than $50 \%$ |
| Improve the school's rating of the English Learner Roadmap Principle 1 on the self assessment. | Principal 1: Assets-Oriented and Needs-Responsive <br> Schools <br> Self-reflection rubric <br> A. Language and cultures are assets (score: 3.4) <br> B. No single EL profile (score: <br> 3) <br> C. School climate is affirming, inclusive, safe (score: 3.5) <br> D. Strong family and school partnership (score: 3) | A. Increase to 3.5 <br> B. Increase to 3.5 <br> C. Increase to 4 <br> D. Increase to 3.5 <br> E. Increase to 3 |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## English Learners (ELL's)

Strategy/Activity
Strategy: Provide professional development to teachers to improve instructional strategies, academic supports to increase student achievement, and opportunities for parent involvement to improve school connectedness.

Activity:

- Learning Center support
- Includes funding of VSA( Variable Service Agreement) to provide ELLs Academic Intervention through the Learning Center
- Includes support for newcomers at Saturday School/Intervention Program(s)
- Support for ELRT (English Language Resource Team) and ELS (English Learner Specialists)/teacher collaboration
- Release time for teachers
- ELD (English Language Development) Teachers to meet with ELS once per quarter
- Supplies and materials for EL Specialists to provide whole staff Tier I PD
- ELS to model and collaborate with staff as well as provide PD on Tier I integrated ELD instructional strategies at one Faculty Meeting per semester to whole staff including TPS (Think Pair Share) 2.0 strategies, reciprocal teaching, and writing scaffolding
- EL specialist (ELS) to collaborate and provide PD focused on intervention and differentiation to meet students needs by proficiency level during integrated ELD content instruction.
- ELAC (English Learner Advisory Committee) support
- Including supplies to incentivize increased parent participation
- PD Support
- Supplemental materials
- Including materials and texts in primary language as bridge to learning of new language
- Parent Seminars for Non-English speaking families
- Includes, college application process, info nights, FAFSA, (Free Application for Federal Student Aid) etc.
- Edgenuity classes to support credit recovery


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
2,500.00
22,786.00
$3,010.00$

## Source(s)

Supplemental/Concentration
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected

Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Through focused SPSA goals and support, EL Specialists and teachers were better able to support students. The ELS took advantage of the collaborative time and implemented more regular parent seminars (four throughout the year).

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
All activities went to plan except the PD for teachers put on by ELS due to lack of EL specialist for the site to begin the year.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
Better coordination between the LRC (Learning Center) and the Saturday School program will need to occur to make sure the dedicate EL support person is contacted to be available for Saturday Intervention support programs.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Provide meaningful engagement and leadership opportunities for youth to directly and significantly shape each student's education and school community

## Goal 4

Provide meaningful engagement and leadership opportunities for youth to directly and significantly shape each student's education and school community

## Identified Need

Anecdotal student reports cite an increased need for input on school decision making.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of partnerships with <br> the community and other <br> programs that provide students <br> with opportunities to get <br> engaged |
| Number of extracurricular <br> programs offered |

Number and percent of students by representative

## Baseline/Actual Outcome

2020-'21-46 partnerships for students to engage in Community Service and leadership opportunities and 35 local partners offering student scholarships.

2021-22' Same levels.

Baseline- 2020-'21- We currently field 16 sports programs as well as 17 extracurricular clubs

2021-22' same levels
2020-'21-315 responses to internal "Needs Assessment" survey (Approximately $25 \%$ of school population)

2021-'22-521 responses to internal "Needs Assessment Survey" (Approximately 41\% of school population)

2020-'21- 24 students (4 EL (16\%), 4 Low Income (16\%), 4

## Expected Outcome

(Continued from last year) Maintain current number of partnerships at above 45.
(Continued from last year)
Maintain current sports offerings of 16 programs as well as at least 17 extracurricular clubs.
(Continued from last year) Increase student participation on "Needs Assessment" Survey to at least $50 \%$ of population.

Maintain current focus group process and membership of 24

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| demographic providing input to the SPSA through focus groups | Low Performing (16\%), 4 SPED (16\%), 8 Demographically representative of WHS Population (32\%) in focus groups. 3 student School Site Council members. | students (4 EL (16\%), 4 Low Income (16\%), 4 Low Performing (16\%), 4 SPED (16\%), 8 Demographically representative of WHS Population (32\%) in focus groups. 3 student School Site Council members. |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Provide students with programs and activities to increase school connectedness

- Link Crew, Student Government, School activities, after-school clubs, sports and programs supports
- Includes materials and supplies to run programs
- Increase recruiting of underrepresented student groups
- Experiential Field Trips for supplemental instruction to extend beyond the classroom
- Includes support for subs and transportation

Provide students regular opportunities for feedback and input

- Utilize diverse Student Focus Groups in SPSA development process
- Hold Student Advisory Council meetings on a quarterly basis to review data and give feedback
- Increase opportunities for student voice in ELAC and School Site Council
- Continue strong partnerships with community organizations
- Continue robust supports of community service programs as well as scholarship partnerships
- Reinstatement of Student Support Programs (for acknowledgement)
- Incudes programs such as "HEROES"


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
13,930.00

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration

## Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22
Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Both community partnerships and extracurricular activities were maintained from previous years. With the easing of COVID restrictions, meeting in person allowed for more student involvement at higher interest in the Student Action Committee. Partnerships with teachers and the use of Canvas and Parent Square facilitated an approximately a 300 student increase in participation in the student Needs Assessment Survey.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
No major differences from plan to implementation.
Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
Next year we will have increased participation in the Student Action Committe by teachers and we will be organizing a greater synergy between that committee, ASB, Site Council, and School Board representations

## Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

## Description

Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA

## Amount

```
$140,058
```

\$
\$282,488.00

## Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

## Federal Programs

Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

## Allocation (\$)

\$139,786.00
\$3,010.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$142,796.00
List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

## State or Local Programs

Supplemental/Concentration

## Allocation (\$)

\$142,430.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$142,430.00
Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$285,226.00

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

## 1 School Principal

4 Classroom Teachers
1 Other School Staff
3 Parent or Community Members
3 Secondary Students

| Name of Members | Role |
| :--- | :--- |
| Peter Lambert | Principal |
| Kristi Lopez | Parent or Community Member |
| Casey Raubach | Parent or Community Member |
| Melissa Atkin | Parent or Community Member |
| Iris Ramirez | Secondary Student |
| Connor Green | Secondary Student |
| Metzy Solorio | Secondary Student |
| Jose Gonzalez | Classroom Teacher School Staff |
| Jennifer Drewek | Classroom Teacher |
| Eric Dyer | Classroom Teacher |
| Carla Serratos | Classroom Teacher |
| Anne Mapalo |  |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

## Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:
Signature
Committee or Advisory Group Name


## English Learner Advisory Committee

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 5/17/2021.
Attested:


Principal, Peter Lambert on May 17, 2022

SSC Chairperson, Jose Gonzales on May 17, 2022

